

## Lecture 15: Other Areas of Evolutionary Computing

# Outline

- ▶ We have already covered a few areas of EC in some depth
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
    - ▶ Practice
    - ▶ Theory
  - ▶ Genetic Programming
- ▶ Now we'll briefly look at some other areas and applications of EC
  - ▶ Memetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
  - ▶ Estimation of Distribution Algorithms
  - ▶ EC in Machine Learning and Modelling

# Memetic Algorithms

- ▶ *Memetic algorithms* combine EC (typically a GA) with local-search heuristics
- ▶ The name derives from Richard Dawkin's coining of the term *memes*, referring to cultural replicators
  - ▶ An ongoing dispute in the early days of evolutionary theory was whether adaptations acquired during an individual's lifetime could be inherited by their offspring
    - ▶ E.g. the strong arms developed by a blacksmith would be passed on to his children
  - ▶ This was ultimately resolved in the negative by the *central dogma* of molecular biology
    - ▶ Information can pass from DNA to protein, but not vice-versa
  - ▶ In Dawkins' ideas, memes are ideas that spread, and ideas can of course be changed into 'fitter' ideas by the experiences of their bearers, and then promulgated

# Memetic Algorithms

- ▶ Anyway, that's all a historical footnote...
- ▶ *Memetic algorithms* combine EC (typically a GA) with local-search heuristics
  - ▶ E.g. we might produce offspring through selection, crossover and mutation, then use local search of some other heuristic to improve those offspring
  - ▶ This is related to the idea of problem-specific repair heuristics in constrained optimisation problems
    - ▶ Similar questions are raised, such as whether offspring fitness should be based on original or improved quality of the solution
- ▶ Memetic algorithms are also often called *hybrid* algorithms, e.g. hybrid genetic algorithms

# Evolutionary Strategies

- ▶ We have already come across *evolutionary strategies* in the context of selection operators
  - ▶  $(\lambda, \mu)$  and  $(\lambda + \mu)$ , etc.
  - ▶ See the 'Advanced Operators and Techniques' lecture for further details
- ▶ The defining difference of ES compared to other EC approaches such as GAs is the representation...
  - ▶ GAs (for example) used discrete, often binary encodings
  - ▶ ES use real-valued vectors
- ▶ ...and the operators
  - ▶ Mutation is performed by adding gaussian noise to an element in the vector
    - ▶ The variance of this noise can be adapted on a locus-by-locus basis during the optimisation process
  - ▶ Crossover and selection can be performed as normal
- ▶ Now we are getting very close to a 'representationless' algorithm
  - ▶ Particularly if we use a crossover operator with no positional bias, such as UX

# Estimation of Distribution Algorithms

- ▶ An EC algorithm generates a random population, then performs a biased search in the set of possible problems, based on the solutions represented in the population, and their objective values
- ▶ An EC algorithm can thus be viewed as sampling points in the search space, *according to an unknown probability distribution*
  - ▶ The population and the selection, crossover and mutation operators are thus tools by which this distribution is sampled from
- ▶ *Evolution of Distribution Algorithms* dispense with the operators, which indirectly sample from the distribution, and directly choose points for evaluation in the search space according to this underlying probability distribution
- ▶ To sample from this distribution, however, we must know it first
  - ▶ Knowing the distribution implies total knowledge of the problem, in which case we don't need to apply an optimisation algorithm
  - ▶ We must estimate the distribution and construct a model, but even gaining a realistic estimate will be non-trivial

# Estimation of Distribution Algorithms

- ▶ The expressiveness of our model will affect the complexity of probability distributions we can approximate
  - ▶ The simplest model is to assume that each locus is independent
    - ▶ Then the probability of each allele occurring in a high-fitness solution according to our model will depend only on the frequency with which that allele is observed in high-fitness solutions sampled
    - ▶ Such models will clearly fail to approximate epistatic problems well
  - ▶ We can increase the degree of non-independence we consider in our model
    - ▶ Pairwise interactions
    - ▶ Multivariate interactions
    - ▶ Learning such models is a hard Machine Learning problem in itself

# Estimation of Distribution Algorithms

- ▶ Thus the basic loop of the EDA is

Initialise model of distribution;

**while** *termination condition not met* **do**

    Sample population from modelled distribution;

    Update modelled distribution based on sample;

**end**

# EC in Machine Learning and Modelling

- ▶ Holland's original monograph did not focus too much on optimisation
  - ▶ *Adaptation* in Natural and Artificial Systems
- ▶ Even Ken De Jong, who proposed the first set of GA benchmarks was of a similar mindset
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms are NOT Function Optimizers (1993) Foundations of Genetic Algorithms (FOGA)
- ▶ Melanie Mitchell's book also looks at some modelling applications of GAs

# EC in Machine Learning and Modelling

- ▶ Learning Classifier Systems (LCS) are a prime example of the use of EC in ML
  - ▶ LCS can be used for classification and RL tasks
  - ▶ They combine RL techniques, particularly credit assignment, with a GA used for rule discovery
  - ▶ Schemata are explicitly introduced as a method for generalisation by rules

# EC in Machine Learning and Modelling

- ▶ As EC is modelled on evolution through natural selection, it is also natural to use it to model evolution through natural selection
- ▶ Traditional population genetics approaches consider simple scenarios that are analytically tractable
- ▶ More complex scenarios can often only be modelled computationally
  - ▶ Theoretical Biology
  - ▶ Artificial Life
  - ▶ Evolutionary Robotics
  - ▶ ...