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Why Static Energy Consumption Analysis?

Worldwide ICT energy consumption growing (6.6% per year)
- Currently, only dealt with in hardware!

Source: D8.1. Overview of ICT energy consumption, FP7-288021 – Network for Excellence in Internet Science
Why Static Energy Consumption Analysis?

Hardware Efficiency

- Focus on many efficiency methods
  - Laws and regulations, long-term plan, etc
  - Hardware limits

Hardware improvement is needed

but it is the software that controls the hardware...
Importance of Energy Efficiency

- ICT controls the world and hence **ICT controls most of the energy** used in the world!
- Analysis of **complete systems** is needed: software+hardware, control+machine

Why Static Energy Consumption Analysis?
Why Static Energy Consumption Analysis?

**Static vs Dynamic analysis**

With *dynamic* analysis the properties of a running system is monitored at run-time.

With *static* analysis the properties of a running system are predicted at design-time.

*Static* analysis can help in
- Preventing problems at run-time
- Exploring the consequences of **design** choices
- Studying properties of the design

*Dynamic* analysis can help in
- Exploring the properties of the running system
- Monitoring the running system for problems
- Measuring key aspects of the running system
The need for hardware parametricity

Hardware energy consumption is controlled by software

Hardware performance and energy consumption efficiency is improving steadily due to hardware specific improvements:
- Hardware improvements;
- Compiler improvements;
- Software analysis for specific hardware

We propose a hardware parametric approach

- Input for the analysis are models of the hardware components
- The analysis itself is generic. It uses the energy model as a parameter to statically derive upper-bounds for energy consumption

It requires hardware component models:
- i.e. hardware energy consumption models including internal state information
- key parameters guessed, provided by manufacturers and/or measured dynamically
Component models and a Hoare logic…

- Basic hardware component description language
  - Finite state machine
    - energy levels attached to each state
    - constant power draw per state
    - energy usage: time consumed * state power level
  - Component functions may
    - change state, energy usage is constant per component function
- Total energy consumption
  - sum of all incidental usage by component functions
  + sum over states (time spent in state * state power draw)

- Hoare logic with logical rules describing how energy consumption is approximated
  - ...
Component models and a Hoare logic…

- **Basic hardware component description language**
  - **Total energy consumption**
    - sum of all incidental usage by component functions
      + sum over states (time spent in state * state power draw)

- Hoare logic with logical rules describing how energy consumption is approximated
  - Pre-assumes annotations for loop bounds and variable values
  - Includes an implicit component for the processor
  - Takes in the info from the component parameters
  - Result: symbolic bound on energy consumption
  - Soundness with respect to energy-aware semantics is proven (result is over-estimation of energy usage)
So, what do we have in the EcaLogic tool...

- Set of logic rules used for analysis
  - For every statement or expression; Result is an over-estimation
- Energy consumed by the hardware is modelled in hardware component models
  - Incidental and time-dependent consumption
EcaLogic: a tool for Hardware Parametric Energy Consumption Analysis

http://resourceanalysis.cs.ru.nl/energy/

ECALogic energy consumption analysis demonstrator

```
component Radio(active: 0..1)
  initial active := 0
  component function on uses 400 time 400 energy
    active := 1
  end function
  component function off uses 200 time 200 energy
    active := 0
  end function
  component function queue(X) uses 30 time 30 energy
  component function send uses 100 time 100 energy
  function phi := 2 + 200 * active
end component
```
Design time decision: which algorithm is best?

Wireless sensor node #1

function alwaysOn(N)
    Radio :: on()
    while N > 0 bound N do
        Value := Sensor :: measure()
        Radio :: queue( Value )
        Radio :: send()
        N := N-1
    end while
    Radio :: off()
end function

• buffering consumes less energy when \( B \geq 3, N \geq 3 \)
• buffering takes less time when \( B \geq 12 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>time</th>
<th>energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alwaysOn(N)</td>
<td>( 600 + 195 \cdot N )</td>
<td>( 83600 + 40200 \cdot N )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buffering(N,B)</td>
<td>( (130 + \frac{740}{B}) \cdot N )</td>
<td>( (1070 + \frac{105640}{B}) \cdot N )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Comparing sensor node #1 and #2

Wireless sensor node #2

function buffering(N, B)
    while N > 0 bound N/B do
        K := B
        while K > 0 and N > 0 bound B do
            Value := Sensor :: measure()
            Radio :: queue( Value )
            K := K – 1
            N := N – 1
        end while
        Radio :: on()
        Radio :: send()
        Radio :: off()
    end while
end function

component Radio( active: 0..1 )
    initial active := 0
end component

component function on uses 400 time 400 energy
    active := 1
end function

component function off uses 200 time 200 energy
    active := 0
end function

component function queue(X) uses 30 time 30 energy

component function send uses 100 time 100 energy

function phi := 2 + 200 * active
end component
Limitations

Limitations of published work

First steps only
• Straightforward, simple language, no recursion, no energy signatures

Limitations
• Component state functions take up a constant amount of time and incidental energy
  • mitigate by changing the program
• Component states have a constant power draw
  • mitigate by changing state to a higher energy level in component function
• Component models must be finite state machines
  • mitigate using abstraction
• The effect of component state functions on the component states cannot depend on the arguments of the function
Also, component models are independent, they cannot influence each other
  • mitigate using multiple component state functions
EcaLogic

- Implementation of the original analysis
  - Works on a toy language

EcaLogic-C: Work in progress
Work in progress with Stein Keijzers

- C is used a lot for control software
- Use Frama-C
  - Annotations can be proven with Frama-C
  - Create an ECA plugin to Frama-C converts the annotated C program to the extended EcaLogic core
    - data structures, memory manipulation, recursion
EcaLogic-C: Work in progress

Diagram:

- User
- Modeller
- (Annotated) C Program
- Frama-C Provers
- Frama-C Plugin
- C AST (Scala Format)
- Scala Compiler
- Compiled C AST
- Scala Models
- Java Models
- ECM Models
- EcaLogic Component Parser/Compiler
- Java Compiler
- Scala Compiler
- Usable Models
- Energy & Time Consumption
- EcaLogic-C Analysis
Work in progress with Ennier Kelly

- Analyse and compare energy consumption of two different DNS server implementations
- Measurements for server component models (SEF lab Amsterdam)
- Abstraction of full server code
- Run analysis

Quite a challenge: both in abstraction and in measuring
Future work (unordered)

- Take away current limitations (recursion, implicit component, …)
- Add lower bounds
- Increase modularity adding energy signatures
- Add some form of concurrency in software/hardware models
- Solve symbolic energy consumption comparisons automatically
- Go for a full, real language
- Apply in practice
- Set up library of various kinds of energy models
- Create smooth transition from modeling to actual system
- Study larger systems
- ….
Summary

We analyse energy consumption of software controlled ‘hardware’ with

- Energy models of the hardware components

- A hardware-parametric static analysis technique

- Promising first results:
  - Tool EcaLogic
  - Proven soundness of basic analysis using Hoare logic

- Lots of work to be done: students are welcome for research visits
FOPARA2015 see you @ ETAPS in London?

- Part of ETAPS2015, London UK, April 11-18, 2015
  - CC, ESOP, FASE, FOSSACS, POST, TACAS, …
- Co-located with DICE2015, Marco Gaboardi, April 11 and 12
- Post-event peer reviewing, presentation acceptance based on paper for local proceedings, proper LNCS postproceedings
- Topics:
  - original research results
  - relevant to the analysis of resource (e.g. time, space, energy) consumption by computer programs
  - Aim: to bring together the researchers that work on foundational issues with the researchers that focus more on practical results
    - both theoretical and practical contributions are encouraged
    - also encouraged are papers that combine theory and practice
- Special attention to TACLe, TACLe funded
- Call for papers, expected soon… Tentative submission: January, 2015